

MRC Technical Summary Report #2125

AN UPDATED VERSION OF THE KANTOROVICH
THEOREM FOR NEWTON'S METHOD

George Miel

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

October 1980

(Received July 31, 1980)



Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

COPY

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211

Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

80 12 22 040

and the second of the second

(14) りょと-TSR-ダユニジ 11) YET XX / (13) 27/

> UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

AN UPDATED VERSION OF THE KANTOROVICH THEOREM FOR NEWTON'S METHOD.

10 George Miel

9) Technical Summary Report 2125
October 1980
ABSTRACT (1.) DAA 19-12-7-8044

An affine invariant version of the Kantorovich theorem for Newton's method is presented. The result includes the Gragg-Tapia error bounds, as well as recent optimal and sharper upper bounds, new optimal and sharper lower bounds, and new inequalities showing q-quadratic convergence all in terms of the usual majorizing sequence. Closed form expressions for these bounds are given.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 65H10, 65J15, 47H17.

Key Words: Nonlinear equations, Newton method, Kantorovich theorem, Error

bounds

Work Unit Number 3 - Numerical Analysis and Computer Science

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada 89154.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

A basic problem in numerical analysis is the computation of the roots of a nonlinear system Fx = 0, where $F: X \to X$ and X is a space of N-tuples. Newton's method consists of the iteration,

 x_0 chosen, $x_n = x_{n-1} - F'(x_{n-1})^{-1}Fx_n$, n > 1, where $F'(x_{n-1})$ is the Jacobian of F at x_{n-1} , thus generating a sequence of N-tuples $x_n = (\xi_{n1}, \xi_{n2}, \dots, \xi_{nN})$, which will hopefully converge to a solution $x^* = (\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_N^*)$. The basic idea of the method is to take each vector \times_n as the solution of an approximating system of $N \times N$ linear equations. The Kantorovich theorem gives specific conditions under which the iterates x_n will converge to a solution x^{*} , establishing in the process the local existence and uniqueness of that solution, and it also yields computable upper and lower bounds for the errors $\|x^{w} - x_{n}\|$. For mathematical expediency, this famous theorem is often stated in terms of operator equations in Banach spaces, but its major application to actual computer work is restricted to finite systems of equations as described above. Although the theorem has been established conclusively in 1948, there is a continuing effort on the part of researchers to find the best possible and sharpest error bounds under the hypotheses of the theorem. In practice, when guaranteed accuracy is needed, error bounds provide exit criteria, viz., means of stopping the computation when an approximant has a prescribed accuracy. Thus the sharpness of error bounds is important, since it translates into saving of computer time. This report presents a complete update of the theorem, giving recent and new sharper error bounds.

Carlot Carlot Carlot

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MMC, and not with the author of this report.

AN UPDATED VERSION OF THE KANTOROVICH THEOREM FOR NEWTON'S METHOD

George Miel[†]

Introduction. Kantorovich [5] presented in 1939 a preliminary convergence result for Newton's method. In 1948, he used certain recurrence relations to establish his now-famous theorem [6], and a year later, he gave the first proof based on the majorant principle [7]. Various workers have presented refinements of the theorem and related results. For a survey of the theorem's predecessors and successors prior to 1970, see [2, p. 247], [15, pp. 420, 428], [16, p. 404].

With the use of the original recurrence relations, Dennis [1] improved the Kantorovich error bounds. Tapia [22] derived these improved bounds directly from Ortega's majorizing sequence [14]. Rall and Tapia [20] further improved the bounds. Under hypotheses different from the usual ones, Ostrowski [16], [17] established optimal a priori upper bounds. Gragg and Tapia [4] used the recurrence relations to get optimal a posteriori upper and lower bounds. Ptak [19] applied his principle of nondiscrete induction to derive the optimal a priori upper bounds. With the same principle, Potra and Ptak [18] obtained a posteriori upper and lower bounds sharper than those of Gragg-Tapia. Miel [9], [10] used the majorizing sequence to derive the Gragg-Tapia upper bounds, as well as new optimal and sharper upper bounds. It turns out [11] that these new bounds are finer than those of Potra-Ptak.

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada 89154.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

Although the lasting effort that has gone into finding error bounds for the Kantorovich theorem is suggestive of the theorem's depth and its central importance in nonlinear numerical analysis, one cannot help yearn for a clean and definitive statement. Since recent refinements are either scattered or altogether not in the open literature, our purpose here is to give a complete update. As it should be, the updated theorem is affine invariant [3], and it describes clearly in terms of the usual majorizing sequence the Gragg-Tapia bounds, the recent optimal and sharper upper bounds, new optimal and finer lower bounds, and new inequalities showing q-quadratic convergence. Since the elements of the majorizing sequence are known in closed form, we readily get explicit expressions for all bounds.

Given a sequence $\left\{x_n\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in a Banach space, if there is a sequence of real numbers $\left\{t_n\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that

(1.1)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} t^* < \infty$$
, $\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \le t_n - t_{n-1}$,

then $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to some x^* and the error bounds

(1.2)
$$\|x^* - x_n\| \le t^* - t_n$$

are valid [14]. The following simple result [10], given here for completeness, shows that under certain conditions, the majorizing sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ yields error bounds much sharper than (1.2).

LEMMA. If there is a sequence $\left\{t_n\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers such that (1.1) hold and

$$t_0 = 0$$
, $t_{n-1} < t_n$, $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \le \frac{t_{n+1} - t_n}{(t_n - t_{n-1})^2} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2$,

then $\left\{x\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to some x^{*} and

$$(1.3) \quad \|x^* - x_n\| \le \frac{t^* - t_n}{(t_n - t_{n-1})^2} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \le \frac{t^* - t_n}{t_n - t_{n-1}} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|$$

$$\le \frac{t^* - t_n}{t_1} \|x_1 - x_0\| .$$

Proof. If m > n then

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_m}\| \leq \nabla_{\mathbf{t}_m} \left(\frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_n}\|}{\nabla t_n} \right)^{2^{m-n}} \leq \nabla_{\mathbf{t}_m} \left(\frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_n}\|}{\nabla t_n} \right)^{2}.$$

Thus for p > 1,

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{n+p} - \mathbf{x}_n\| \leq (\mathbf{t}_{n+p} - \mathbf{t}_n) \left(\frac{\|\nabla \mathbf{x}_n\|}{\nabla \mathbf{t}_n} \right)^2.$$

Take $p + \infty$ to obtain the first inequality in (1.3). Finally, use $\|\nabla x_n\|/\nabla t_n \le \|\nabla x_1\|/t_1 \le 1$ to get the other two inequalities.

Given Newton iterates for an operator equation Gx = 0,

(1.4)
$$x_{n+1} = x_n - G^*(x_n)^{-1}Gx_n$$
,

the usual majorizing sequence consists of the scalar Newton iterates,

(1.5)
$$t_0 = 0$$
, $t_{n+1} = t_n - g^*(t_n)^{-1}g(t_n)$,

of a quadratic polynomial g(t) whose coefficients depend on G and x_0 . Since g satisfies the Kantorovich hyptheses and (1.5) then is a special case of (1.4) with G = g and $x_0 = t_0$, the bounds in (1.2) and (1.3) are all optimal. A standard argument and the bounds $\|G'(x_n)^{-1}\| \le -g'(t_n)^{-1}$ yield a quadratic inequality, (2.5) in the sequel, from which one gets lower bounds for $\|x^* - x_n\|$ in terms of $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|$. The weaker optimality of these lower bounds is obtained as in Gragg-Tapia [4].

Warnings against the use of majorizing sequences are sometimes sounded. The reasons given are the apparent r-order of convergence, the coarseness of the bounds, and the difficulty in computing the required constants. The arguments against majorizing sequences should perhaps be re-evaluated, since the updated theorem shows that the majorizing sequence does imply q-quadratic convergence and that new error bounds are sharper than the usual ones. The problems associated with the local nature of the estimates and the verification of hypotheses, however, do remain. In this connection, we point to research on computer verification of semilocal conditions by interval analysis and on interval versions of Newton's method [12], [13], [21].

2. The Updated Theorem. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let D be an open convex subset of X. The open ball $\{x: \|x-x_0\| < r\}$ and its closure are denoted by $S(x_0,r)$ and $\overline{S}(x_0,r)$ respectively.

THEOREM. Let $F:D X \to Y$ be Frechet differentiable. Assume that $F'(x_0)$ is invertible for some $x_0 \in D$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F^{*}(x_{0})^{-1}(F^{*}(x) - F^{*}(y))\| \le K\|x - y\|, & x,y \in D,, \\ &\|F^{*}(x_{0})^{-1}Fx_{0}\| \le a,, \\ &S(x_{0},t^{*}) \subset D, & t^{*} = (1 - \sqrt{1 - h})/K, & h = 2Ka \le 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the scalar iterates (1.5) for the quadratic polynomial $g(t) = \frac{K}{2} t^2 - t + a.$ Then

- i) The iterates $x_{n+1} = x_n F'(x_n)^{-1}Fx_n$ exist, remain in $S(x_0, t^*)$, and converge to a root x^* of F_*
- ii) The root x^* is unique in $S(x_0, t^{**}) \cap D$, $t^{**} = (1 + \sqrt{1 h})/K$, if h < 1, and in $\overline{S}(x_0, t^{**})$ if h = 1.
- iii) The upper error bounds (1.3) are valid.

v)
$$\|x^* - x_{n+1}\| \le \frac{t^* - t_{n+1}}{(t^* - t_n)} \|x^* - x_n\|^2$$
.

Also, the uniqueness statement (ii) and the bounds in (iii), (iv), and (v) are best possible.

Proof. Consider the scaling,

(2.1)
$$Gx = F'(x_0)^{-1}Fx .$$

The Banach lemma implies that G'(x) is invertible for every $x \in S(x_0, t^*)$. If both x and $Hx = x - G'(x)^{-1}Gx$ are in $S(x_0, t^*)$ then

$$\|H(Hx) - Hx\| \le \frac{K/2}{1 - K\|Hx - x_0\|} \|Hx - x\|^2$$
.

The sequence $\{t_n\}$ satisfies the conditions $\|x_1-x_0\| \le t_1=a$, $t_{n-1} < t_n$, $\lim t_n=t^*$, and

(2.2)
$$\frac{K/2}{1-Kt_n} = \frac{t_{n+1}-t_n}{(t_n-t_{n-1})^2}.$$

An induction argument shows that $\{x_n^{}\}$ exists in $S(x_0,t^*)$ and that the hypotheses of the lemma hold. We thus get (i) and (iii). Consideration of the simplified Newton method yields (ii). Letting $e_n = t^* - t_n$, we have

(2.3)
$$e_0 = t^*, e_{n+1} = \frac{e_n^2}{2e_n + \Delta}, \Delta = t^{**} - t^*,$$

(2.4)
$$||G'(x_n)^{-1}|| \le -g'(t_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - Kt_n} = \frac{2}{K} \cdot \frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n^2} .$$

From the identity

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = (x^* - x_n) + G'(x_n)^{-1}(Gx^* - Gx_n - G'(x_n)(x^* - x_n))$$
,

a mean-value theorem, and (2.4), we get

(2.5)
$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{2} \|x^* - x_n\|^2 + \|x^* - x_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \ge 0.$$

The sharper lower bounds in (iv) follow. Use $\|x\|_{n+1} - x\| \le t\|_{n+1} - t\|_{n}$ and

$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n^2} = \frac{t_{n+1} - t_n}{(t_n - t_{n-1})^2},$$

which results from (2.2) and (2.4), to get the other lower bounds. Use (2.4) and a mean-value theorem on

$$\|x^* - x_{n+1}\| \le \|G'(x_n)^{-1}\| \|Gx^* - Gx_n - G'(x_n)(x^* - x_n)\|$$

to get (v). Obtain the optimality as indicated in the introduction to complete the proof.

The bounds in the theorem are expressed in terms of the majorizing sequence, but since Newton iterates for quadratic polynomials are known in closed form, [8, p. 28] or [17, Appendix F], these bounds can be given explicitly.

COROLLARY. Assume that the hypotheses of the theorem hold and let $\Delta = t^{**} - t^{*} \text{ and } \theta = t^{*}/t^{*}.$ Then

$$\frac{2\|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n}\|}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\theta^{2}^{n}}{(1 + \theta^{2}^{n})^{2}}}} < \frac{2\|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n}\|}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\Delta}} \frac{1 - \theta^{2}^{n}}{1 + \theta^{2}^{n}}} \|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n}\|$$

$$\frac{1 - \theta^{2^{n}}}{\Delta} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} - \mathbf{x}_{n-1}\|^{2} \le \theta^{2^{n-1}} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} - \mathbf{x}_{n-1}\| \le \frac{\Delta \theta^{2^{n}}}{a(1 - \theta^{2^{n}})} \cdot \|\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{0}\|$$

if h < 1, and

 $2(\sqrt{2}-1)\|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n\| \le 2^{-n+1} \mathbf{a}(\sqrt{1} + \frac{2^n}{\mathbf{a}}\|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n\| - 1) \le \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_n\| \le \frac{2^{n-1}}{\mathbf{a}}\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1}\|^2 \le \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1}\| \le 2^{-n+1}\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_0\|$

if h = 1.

Proof. We first solve the nonlinear difference equation (2.3). If $h = 1 \quad \text{then} \quad \Delta = 0 \quad \text{and}$

(2.6)
$$e_n = 2^{-n+1}a .$$

If h < 1, let $u_n = e_n/(e_n + \Delta)$, thus getting $u_0 = \theta$, $u_{n+1} = u_n^2$. The solution is $u_n = \theta^2$, and so,

(2.7)
$$e_{n} = \frac{\Delta \theta^{2^{n}}}{1 - \theta^{2^{n}}},$$

for h < 1. Now use (2.6) and (2.7) on

$$\left(\frac{t_{n+1} - t_n}{t_n - t_{n-1}} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{e_n - e_{n+1}}{e_{n-1} - e_n} \right)^2, \frac{t^* - t_n}{(t_n - t_{n-1})^2} = \frac{e_n}{(e_{n-1} - e_n)^2} = \frac{1}{e_n + \Delta}, \text{ etc.},$$

to obtain the desired expressions.

- 3. Remarks and Numerical Example. We point out features of the above version of the Kantorovich theorem.
- 3.1. The theorem is affine invariant and the transformation (2.1) is an optimal scaling [3].
- 3.2. Statement (iv) gives an improvement of the Gragg-Tapia lower bounds, since the latter are equivalent to the left-most bounds.
- 3.3. The inequalities in (v) show that the majorizing sequence yields not only second r-order convergence, but the stronger second q-order as well. Indeed, if h < 1 then (2.3) implies that $\lim_{n \to 1} e_n^2 = 1/\Delta < \infty$.
- 3.4. The two right-most bounds in (1.3) are equivalent to the upper bounds of Gragg-Tapia. The bounds with $\|x_n x_{n-1}\|^2$ are in practice considerably sharper.
- 3.5. The recent bounds of Potra-Ptak [18], obtained by nondiscrete induction, become in our notation,

$$\gamma(\Delta/2, d_{n+1}) \leq \|x^* - x_n\| \leq \delta(\Delta/2, d_n), \qquad d_n = \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| ,$$

$$\gamma(s,t) = (s^2 + 4t^2 + 4t(s^2 + t^2)^{-1/2}) - (t + (s^2 + t^2)^{-1/2}) ,$$

$$\delta(s,t) = (s^2 + t^2)^{-1/2} - s .$$

These bounds are sharper than those of Gragg-Tapia. However, it turns out that $(t^* - t_n) d_n^2/(t_n - t_{n-1})^2 \le \delta(\Delta/2, d_n)$, see [11]. Numerical experiments also indicate that the sharper lower bounds in (iv) are finer than $\gamma(\Delta/2, d_{n+1})$.

3.6. In practice, the user should employ the sharpest bounds,

(3.1)
$$\frac{2d_{n+1}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4a_n d_{n-n+1}}} \le \|x^* - x_n\| \le A_n d_n^2.$$

The following recurrence relations are convenient for programmed computation:

(3.2)
$$a_0 = (t^* + t^*)^{-1}, \quad a_{n+1} = \frac{2a_n}{1 + \Delta^2 a_n^2},$$

(3.3)
$$A_1 = \theta/a, \quad A_{n+1} = A_n(2 - \Delta A_n)$$
.

Use (2.3) and $a_n = 1/(2e_n + \Delta)$ to verify (3.2). For (3.3), see [10, p. 192].

3.7. We borrow an example given in [18]. The table lists all the bounds stated in the theorem for the scalar cubic $F(x) = \frac{1}{3}(x^3 - 1)$, with $x_0 = 1.3$, a = 0.236095, K = 0.209727. The bounds in (3.1), especially the upper bounds, are seen to be sharper.

$$g(t) \approx 0.1048632758 t^2 - t + 0.2360946746$$

$$t^* = 0.4298664530$$
 $t^{**} = 0.5237562515$

.		34)2	72	82
t d		.1937717784	.0779910692	.0243428972	.0041562278
t t t n d		.1937717784	.0405133423	.0017004978	.0000028989
$\frac{t^{+}t^{-}}{(t_{n}-t_{n-1})^{2}} \cdot d_{n}^{2}$. 1937717784	.0210451135	.0001187900	.0000000000
, r	1,30000000000	1.0639053254	1.0037617275	1.0000140800	1.0000000000
$1 + \sqrt{1 + 4} \frac{{t + t \choose t - t_{n+1}}}{{t + t \choose t - t_n}^2} d_{n+1}$.2152158729	.0571992421	.0037228786	.0000140791	.0000000000
$\frac{2d_{n+1}}{1+\sqrt{1+4}} \left(\frac{t_{n+1}-t_n}{t_n-t_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2}}$.1958656190	.0501050050	.0031714276	.0000125068	.000000000
c	0	-	7	e	4

REFERENCES

- J. E. DENNIS, On the Kantorovich hypothesis for Newton's method, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 6 (1969), pp. 493-507.
- 2. J. E. DENNIS, Toward a unified convergence theory for Newton-like methods, in Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, L. B. Fall, Ed., Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- P. DEUFLHARD and G. HEINDL, Affine invariant convergence theorems for Newton's method and extensions to related methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 16 (1979), pp. 1-10.
- W. B. GRAGG and R. A. TAPIA, Optimal error bounds for the Newton-Kantorovich theorem, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 11 (1974), pp. 10-13.
- L. KANTOROVICH, The method of successive approximations for functional analysis, Acta Math., 71 (1939), pp. 63-97.
- 6. L. KANTOROVICH, On Newton's method for functional equations, Dokl. Akai. Nauk SSSR, 59 (1948), pp. 1237-1240. (In Russian).
- L. KANTOROVICH, On Newton's method, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, 28 (1949), pp. 104-144. (In Russian).
- L. A. LYUSTERNIK, et al, Handbook for Computing Elementary Functions, translated from Russian by G. J. Tee, Pergamon Press, New York, 1965.
- 9. G. J. MIEL, The Kantorovich theorem with optimal error bounds, Amer. Math. Monthly, 36 (1979), pp. 212-215.
- 10. G. J. MIEL, Majorizing sequences and error bounds for iterative methods, Math. Comp., 34 (1980), pp. 185-202.
- 11. G. J. MIEL, Semilocal analysis of equations with smooth operators, Presented at the Fifth International Conference on Operator Theory nold June 1980 in Timisoara, Romania. (To appear).
- 12. R. E. MOORE, New results on nonlinear systems, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Interval Mathematics held May 1980 in Freiburg, W. Germany, Academic Press, New York. (To appear).
- 13. K. L. NICKEL, A globally convergent ball Newton method, Tech. Summary Report #2022, Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1979.
- 14. J. M. ORTEGA, The Newton-Kantorovich theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 75 (1968), pp. 658-660.
- 15. J. M. ORTERA and W. C. RHEINBOLDT, Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

- 16. A. M. DSTROWSKI, La méthode de Newton dans les espaces de Banach, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A., 272 (1971), pp. 1251-1253.
- 17. A. M. OSTROWSKI, Solution of Equations in Euclidean and Banach Spaces, 3rd Ed., Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 18. F. A. POTRA and V. PTAK, Sharp error bounds for Newton's process, Numer. Math., 34 (1980), pp. 63-72.
- 19. V. PTAK, The rate of convergence of Newton's process, Numer. Math., 25 (1976), pp. 279-285.
- 20. L. B. RALL and R. A. TAPIA, The Kantorovich theorem and error estimates for Newton's method, Tech. Summary Report #1043, Mathematical Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1970.
- L. B. RALL, A comparison of the existence theorems of Kantorovich and Moore, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 17 (1980), pp. 148-161.
- 22. R. A. TAPIA, The Kantorovich theorem for Newton's method, Amer. Math. Monthly, 78 (1971), pp. 389-392.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
	3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER				
#2125 A D- A 093	608				
4. YITLE (and Subtitle)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED				
AN UPDATED VERSION OF THE KANTOROVICH THEOREM	Summary Report - no specific				
FOR NEWTON'S METHOD	reporting period 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER				
TOR REATOR 5 PELMOD	6. PERFORMING ONG. NEPUNT NUMBER				
7. AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)				
George Miel	DAAG29- 80-C-0041				
B. PERFORMING ONGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10 PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS				
Mathematics Research Centery University of	Work Unit Number 3 -				
610 Welnut Street Wisconsin	Numerical Analysis and				
Madison, Wisconsin 53706	Computer Science				
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE				
U. S. Army Research Office	October 1980				
P.O. Box 12211	13. NUMBER OF PAGES				
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709	13				
14. MONITORING -GENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)				
	UNCLASSIFIED				
	150. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)					
a describite selector distribution unlimited					
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, If different from Report)					
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
· ·					
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)					
Nonlinear equations, Newton method, Kantorovich theorem, Error bounds					
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on leverse side II necessary and identify by block number)					
An affine invariant version of the Kantorovich theorem for Newton's method is					
presented. The result includes the Gragg-Tapia error bounds, as well as recent					
optimal and sharper upper bounds, new optimal and sharper lower bounds, and new					
inequalities showing q-quadratic convergence all in terms of the usual majorizing					
sequence. Closed form expressions for these bounds are given.					
·					

DD 1 144 21 1473 FULL ON OF THOMES IS ON THEFE

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date 2 iterest)